

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,442	09/19/2003		Kendra J. Gallup	10030566-1	8324	
57299 Kathy Manke	7590	01/18/2008	EXAMINER			
Avago Techn	ologies Lim	nited	JACKSON JR, JEROME			
4380 Ziegler Road Fort Collins, CO 80525				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Port Commis,	Fort Collins, CO 80323			2815		
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				01/18/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

avagoip@system.foundationip.com kathy.manke@avagotech.com scott.weitzel@avagotech.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
·	10/666,442	GALLUP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply	/ IC CET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH/	E) OR THIRTY (20) DAVE					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 November 2007</u> .						
, <u> </u>	,—						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 15-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) <u>20,21 and 23</u> is/are objected to.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7,15-19,22 and 24</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) 20 21 and 23 is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Paners							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) below objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	, ·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/07. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number:

10/666,442 Art Unit: 2815

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2-7, 15-19, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Weidel 4,966,430.

Applicant's new limitations do not structurally distinguish over Weidel where the metallization on substrate 1 through which the light is focused is considered the "alignment post". See figure 3 where middle layer 3 defines an "alignment post". There is no particularly claimed "alignment post" structure unequivocally distinguishing over middle layer 3 where light is focused on middle device 7.

New claim 17 is broader than claims 15 and 16 and likewise does not structurally distinguish over Weidel. New claims 18 and 19 reciting common use in the art lens structure is not considered patentable as Weidel shows planarized lens structure, and absent unexpected results, use of any well known in the art lens structure would have been obvious for Weidel.

Claim 22 is not patentable as the "diameter" of layer 3 can be arbitrarily defined as an "alignment feature".

Claim 24 is not patentable as layer 1 of Weidel can be silicon of about 0.3mm-0.5mm. The claimed thickness is at least obvious as there are no unexpected results over the thickness of Weidel, and silicon is transparent at the claimed wavelength.

Claims 2-7, 15-19, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weidel with Wang 6,947,224 and Johnson, of record.

Application/Control Number:

10/666,442 Art Unit: 2815

Johnson as previously applied for contact pads and sealing rings, in view of the Weidel rejection above. In regard to "bifocal diffractive" or "hybrid diffractive/refractive" lens structure, Wang suggests such lens structure with planarization layers for the advantages of planar structure and improved lens focusing. Claims 18 and 19 are obvious structure.

Claims 20, 21 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The particularly claimed alignment post structure is not fairly suggested by Weidel, Wang and Johnson, or to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of Weidel's structure. In other words, one of ordinary skill would not find it obvious to substitute middle metallization layer 3 of Weidel with cylindrical or partially spherical hollow or transparent structures. This is not a mere change of obvious planarized lens structure.

Applicant's arguments filed 11/15/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Arguments regarding the claimed "alignment post" are unconvincing of patentability as shown above.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

10/666,442 Art Unit: 2815

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER